EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

ORIGINAL

winstar

RECEIVED
MAR 22 2000
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

1615 L Street, NW
Suite 1260
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 833 5678

March 22, 2000

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W. Room TW-A325
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Winstar Communications, Inc.; WT Docket No. 99-217; CC Docket No. 96-98

NOTICE OF WRITTEN EX PARTE PRESENTATION

Dear Sir/Madam:

On March 21, 2000, on behalf of Winstar Communications, Inc., I submitted the attached written ex parte presentation to Chairman William Kennard, to Commissioners Michael Powell, Harold Furchtgott-Roth, Susan Ness and Gloria Tristani, to their legal advisors Ari Fitzgerald, Peter Tenhula, Mark Schneider, Bryan Tramont, and Rick Chessen, and to Thomas Sugrue, James D. Schlichting, and Jeffery Steinburg of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau. Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b) of the FCC's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206(b), I am filing with the Secretary four copies of the *ex parte* presentation.

Should there be any questions regarding the above, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at 202-367-7600.

Very truly yours,

Joseph M. Sandri, Jr.
VP & Regulatory Counsel

Enclosures

X-Sender: jsandri@mail.winstar.com
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 4.2.0.58
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2000 20:30:00 -0500
To: bkennard@fcc.gov, hfurchtg@fcc.gov, sness@fcc.gov, mpowell@fcc.gov, gtristanti@fcc.gov, tsughrue@fcc.gov, jschlich@fcc.gov, jsteinbu@fcc.gov, btramont@fcc.gov, mschneid@fcc.gov, ptenhula@fcc.gov, afitzger@fcc.gov, rchessen@fcc.gov
From: Joseph Sandri <jsandri@winstar.com>
Subject: 1) Wall Street Journal: Broadband Office Frustrating Consumer Choice in Their Buildings; 2) Government Computer News: Example of Bell Atlantic Control of Inside Wire Delaying Competitive Entry
Cc: bohlson@winstar.com

All,

I. WALL STREET JOURNAL ARTICLES RE: BROADBAND OFFICE

Wall Street Journal, *It Adds Up To More Than Semantics*, March 15, 2000, pg. B12 (attached)

"According to people familiar with Broadband Office, six of the seven original REITs involved
with Broadband don't intend to allow into their buildings any direct competitors to Broadband, such
as Allied Riser and Onsite Access Inc."

Wall Street Journal, *Big Landlords Are Joining Telecom Fray*, October 1, 1999, pg. B1 (attached)

"The backers say they won't force tenants to use BroadBand Office and will give other telecommunications companies
access to their buildings."

II. GOVERNMENT COMPUTER NEWS RE: BELL ATLANTIC CONTROL OVER INSIDE WIRE

Government Computer News, *N.Y. Telecom Services Halted By Wiring Snafu*, March 20, 2000 (website listed below)

"Some federal buildings in New York cannot yet take advantage of competitive local phone service
because Bell Atlantic Corp. owns their wiring."

http://www.gcn.com/vol19_no6/news/1535-1.html

Sincerely,

Joe Sandri

Joseph M. Sandri, Jr.
Vice President & Regulatory Counsel
Winstar Communications, Inc.
1615 L Street, N.W. -- Suite 1260
Washington, D.C. 20036
ph: 202.367.7643
fax: 202.659.1931

Barry: Please file an ex parte statement containing this e-mail in the Competitive Infrastructure Proceeding, WTB Docket No. 99-217

articles.pdf

REIT INTEREST

By Barbara Martinez

It Adds Up to More Than Just Semantics

BACK IN OCTOBER, seven real-estate investment trusts announced the formation of BroadBand Office Inc. with the backing of venture-capital giant Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers. BroadBand Office is touted as a one-stop provider of telephone, high-speed Internet and other high-tech services.

To allay fears that the office REITs were going to limit the number of telecom competitors in their buildings, they all professed their lack of so-called exclusivity pacts with BroadBand. In other words, as Craig Vought, co-chief executive of Spieker Properties Inc., a member of the BroadBand group, put it, "it doesn't preclude any other telecom company in the country from servicing these buildings."



But what really wasn't spelled out is just how much choice the tenants would really get. The little-publicized portion of the announcement was that six of the seven REITs struck "preferred" relationships with BroadBand, meaning they will not only help BroadBand market its services to tenants in their buildings, they could actually discourage certain other companies from wiring up their buildings.

"We're not trying to take sides here," says Philip L. Hawkins, chief operating officer of **CarrAmerica Realty Corp.**, another BroadBand member. "We have national and regional agreements with more than 20 communications companies."

But ask Mr. Hawkins what would happen if **Allied Riser Communications Corp.**, one of the most aggressive and successful telecom companies targeting office buildings to date, asked to wire up CarrAmerica's Washington portfolio, and Mr. Hawkins responds: "We'd say we're not interested at this point."

According to people familiar with BroadBand Office, six of the seven original REITs involved with BroadBand don't intend to allow into their buildings any direct competitors to BroadBand, such as Allied Riser and **Onsite Access Inc.** Those two companies appear to be the most formidable competitors to BroadBand because they want to go beyond just offering the telecommunications lines inside buildings. Like BroadBand, they want to offer services that can essentially take over a tenant's entire communications needs, from phone service to e-mail.

In addition to CarrAmerica and Spieker, the other REITs that have given BroadBand a preferred agreement are **Crescent Real Estate Equities Co.**, **Duke-Weeks Realty Corp.**, **Highwoods Properties Inc.** and **Mack-Cali Realty Corp.**

The REITs that chose the preferred method could risk tenant backlash if BroadBand fails to meet expectations of excellent service. But Gene Zink, executive vice president at Duke-Weeks, says the REITs have "structured a number of performance-related outs if the service is not" up to par.

The office REITs involved weren't as direct about the practice as is CarrAmerica's Mr. Hawkins. Last week, Spieker's Mr. Vought said Allied Riser hasn't tried to wire up Spieker's buildings. "Neither side has pursued a relationship," he said.

But David Crawford, CEO of Allied Riser, says that simply wasn't true. "We've met with representatives of Spieker on numerous occasions in an effort to obtain access to their buildings to no avail," he says.

Reached a second time, Mr. Vought said he had misspoken. "It was an incomplete statement on my part . . . David did come to see us in the spring of last year," he said, but a deal was never reached.

Equity Office Properties Trust is the only member of the REIT group that didn't confer upon BroadBand the preferred status. In fact, Equity Office has either an equity or revenue-sharing interest in Allied Riser, Onsite Access and BroadBand.

The preferential treatment could complicate building owners' defense against complaints by phone companies that they're being denied access. The Federal Communications Commission is considering whether to force landlords to allow all telecom providers equal access.

GOVERNMENT COMPUTER NEWS

March 20, 2000

N.Y telecom services halted by wiring snafu

By William Jackson
GCN Staff

Bell Atlantic Federal's Barbara Connor says GSA must buy or rent wiring in New York buildings.

Some federal buildings in New York cannot yet take advantage of competitive local phone service because Bell Atlantic Corp. owns their wiring.

The General Services Administration in December awarded contracts for local phone service in New York, Chicago and San Francisco to AT&T Corp. under the Metropolitan Area Acquisition program.

"We have not transitioned any customers over to MAA," said Barbara Connor, president of Bell Atlantic Federal. She said there is no provision in the MAA contract for use of interior wiring, called house and riser cable, which belongs to the building owner in most cities.

"What had not been contemplated is that house and riser cable is owned by Bell Atlantic" in New York, Connor said. "It is in fact a regulated service."

GSA must either buy the wiring or rent it for a monthly fee if telephone service is provided by a competitor, she said.

Theirs or ours?

A GSA spokesman said the agency is considering what to do.

According to GSA, 2,165 federal customers in New York have moved to AT&T's MAA contract. But Bell Atlantic said they are customers AT&T picked up through its acquisition last year of another competitive local carrier. No Bell Atlantic lines had been transitioned as of early this month, the company said.

Bell Atlantic has agreed to pay a $3 million penalty to the Treasury Department for its poor handling of customer change orders from rival phone companies. Under the terms of a consent decree approved by the Federal Communications Commission this month, the company could forfeit up to $12 million a week if problems continue, or could lose the right to market long-distance phone service.

Bell Atlantic became the first regional Bell operating company to win the right to offer long-distance service in December, when it convinced the FCC its local market was open to competition.

Meanwhile, the FCC in February began investigating complaints that Bell Atlantic had lost or failed to acknowledge thousands of customer change orders submitted electronically to its automated operations support systems.

About 227,000 order complaints were lodged against Bell Atlantic between November and early February. Richard Welch of the FCC's Enforcement Bureau said the complaints came from mainly residential and small-business customers. He said he was not aware of any federal complaints.

Bell Atlantic officials called it a software glitch and said it has been corrected.

The $3 million payment is voluntary, and Bell Atlantic admits no wrongdoing. The company also has agreed to give $10 million in credits to competing local providers whose customers got lost in the software glitch. The consent decree halts the FCC investigation but does not preclude other complaint filings.

The consent decree requires Bell Atlantic to have at least a 90 percent weekly response rate to competitors' automated service requests. Failure to reach 90 percent for two consecutive weeks will result in a $4 million voluntary payment, followed by $8 million for the third week and $12 million for the fourth week. The company can avoid the $12 million payment if it stops marketing its long-distance service.

GOVERNMENT
COMPUTER NEWS

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

MARKETPLACE

Big Landlords Are Joining Telecom Fray

By SCOTT THURM AND BARBARA MARTINEZ
Staff Reporters of THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

Ma Bell, meet Sam Zell.

Eight of the nation's biggest office landlords, including the biggest, Mr. Zell's Equity Office Properties Trust of Chicago, are making an unusual leap into the crowded telecommunications arena. Joined by venture-capital heavyweight Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers, they are expected to announce today that they have formed a new company, Broadband Office, to offer their tenants both local and long-distance phone service as well as high-speed data lines.

The move signals that real-estate owners are tired of just sitting back and collecting the rent. They have amassed giant portfolios of buildings full of captive customers and are now ready to tap into some of the billions of dollars these tenants spend on various products and services.

For instance, Equity Office, which owns more than 285 properties in 23 states, has more than 320,000 people walking in and out of its buildings daily. Broadband Office's owners control 2,000 buildings, representing about 10% of the nation's offices.

The landlords are joining a big crowd in the lucrative telecommunications market, including the Baby Bells, long-distance providers, and upstart companies focused on both telephone and Internet services. Businesses spend about $125 billion annually on telephone service, according to market researcher International Data Corp.

Amid the bewildering array of choices, backers of Broadband Office say they will appeal to tenants on simplicity, convenience and cost. They'll offer a single contact for local phone service, long-distance, and data connections. Broadband-Office plans to spend as much as $100 million during the next 12 months to install fiber-optic and other high-speed lines through its owners' buildings. The plan is to undercut prices the Bells typically charge, which can approach $1,000 a month for a single high-speed data line. Broadband says new users will have to wait a matter of days, not weeks, to get its service.

"This is a way of bundling services under one provider that only focuses on business customers," said Craig Vought, co-chief executive of Spieker Properties Inc., of Menlo Park, Calif., one of the new company's owner-members.

Broadband Office will have one clear advantage over outside telecommunications suppliers: When the property owners who also own stakes in Broadband Office sign up new tenants, they will refer them to the new service. The backers

Please Turn to Page B4, Column 4

Office Owners Are Joining Telecom Fray

Continued From Page B1

say they won't force tenants to use Broadband Office and will give other telecommunications companies access to their buildings.

The assurances may help address complaints from upstart phone companies, who say some landlords have sweetheart deals with established telephone companies and drag their feet or deny access altogether to new telephone-service providers. The Federal Communications Commission is considering whether to force landlords to allow all telecom providers access to their buildings.

"We are still leaving with our tenants total flexibility in how they obtain their service," said Staman Ogilvie, executive vice president of Hines, a Houston owner of 80 buildings and another partner in Broadband Office.

Both Hines and Equity Office have stakes in a rival venture, Allied Riser Communications Corp., of Dallas, which filed for an initial public stock offering in August. Indeed, Mr. Ogilvie said Hines is aligned with both Broadband Office and Allied Riser to promote competition. More services at lower prices, the landlords hope, will attract more tenants willing to pay big rents.

Backers say Broadband Office expects to raise $50 million to $100 million in equity to install equipment and wire buildings during the next year. Although Broadband Office officials say they hope to sign up other more equity investors, for now Kleiner Perkins is the only one. The property owners received undisclosed stakes in Broadband Office in exchange for the access and tenant references.

Eventually, the company's backers would like to take the company public. Dan Chu, an associate partner at Kleiner Perkins, will be Broadband Office's director of business development. Kleiner Perkins's other telecommunications investments include America Online Inc., AtHome Network, a unit of of Excite At Home Corp., and Juniper Networks Inc.

Mr. Chu says Broadband Office expects to begin offering service on Nov. 1. The company has recruited executives from MCI WorldCom Inc., BellSouth Corp., and Level 3 Communications Inc. It still is seeking a CEO.

Meanwhile, Allied Riser has raised $117 million from Goldman Sachs & Co. and other investors and hopes to raise $232 million more via its IPO. Another player, OnSite Access, New York, has raised $60 million from venture-capital firms and AT&T Corp.'s AT&T Ventures. Allied Riser and OnSite Access focus mainly on high-speed Internet access.